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According to the latest Census of Agriculture the grower community continues to shrink. The really tough prices in the late 1990's have taken a serious toll on grower numbers and acreage. Revised numbers for 1997 indicate that there were 4,910 growers producing apples on 215,464 acres in Washington. The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) originally reported in 1997 that there were 4,207 growers with 204,674 acres of apples. The revised figures are the result of a major effort on the part of NASS to build a more complete list of producers, by 2002, for the entire country.

The census is now indicating that the Washington apple industry lost $21 \%$ of the growers and 20\% of the acreage that existed in 1997. Grower numbers, and acreage by size of orchards, declined in all size categories, as can be seen in tables 1 and 2. Based on the new census, 1,040 apple orchard operations ceased to exist between 1997 and 2002. In addition, 42,654 acres of apple orchard were removed.

Proportionally, the bigger reductions in grower numbers occurred among the smallest and the larger operations. Orchards with 50 to 100 acres of apples declined $30 \%$ in just 5 years and $26 \%$ of the orchards with 100 or more acres disappeared. If we view the numbers from a "mixed" perspective it can be seen that $59 \%$ of the growers who left the industry had less than 25 acres. At the same time $77 \%$ of the acreage that was removed was located in orchards with 50 or more acres.

While both grower numbers and acreage fell there was little change in the proportion of growers controlling the bulk of the acreage. In 1997 9\% of the growers controlled $60 \%$ of the acreage. Now that $9 \%$ have $63 \%$ of the acreage. The 20/80 rule of thumb that is often cited continues to hold in the case of apples as $18 \%$ of the growers have 78\% of the acreage. In 1997 20\% of the growers had 78\% of the acreage.

Table 3 provides a closer look at the largest operations in the industry - those over 100 acres in size. The largest orchards (over 50 ac.) did not decline in numbers or proportionally as rapidly as the smaller operations. Orchards with 100-250 acres fell in number by $32 \%$ and their total acreage fell by $35 \%$. The largest operations are down in number by $7.4 \%$, but total acreage only declined by $2.6 \%$

While consolidation seemed to be the "name of the game" among warehouses and sales agencies in recent years the numbers in this census suggest a battle for survival with the larger operations being relatively more successful.

[^0]One obvious question regarding the disappearance of 42,653 acres of apple orchard is whether those acres were planted to another fruit. Table 4 lists the acres of the different tree fruits reported by growers in Washington. Sweet cherries (11,897 ac. increase), grapes (19,498 ac. increase), and pears (3,048 ac. increase), combined, account for 34,443 acres of the 42,653 acres or $80 \%$.

Another way to view the industry is on the basis of sales. As a general rule it appears to this observer that sales of at least $\$ 500,000$ are needed to generate enough income to support a farm household without outside income. On that basis only 525 orchardists (13.5\% of all apple growers) don't require outside sources of revenue.

Table 5 shows the distribution of apple orchards by the dollar value of sales. It is difficult to talk about changes between 1997 and 2002 as no adjustments have been applied to these data to reflect the larger number of growers thought to have been growing apples in 1997.

Table 6 shows orchards by type of organization. The industry in Washington continues to be centered on the family. Over $71 \%$ of the operations are owned by individuals or families. If we add in family corporations the proportion increases to 86\%. Nonfamily corporations, trusts, estates, and cooperatives represent only 2.48\% of all operations and control only $8.5 \%$ of the acreage. If one accepts the possibility that the 1997 numbers for nonfamily corporations, trusts, estates, cooperatives, etc. as reasonably close to the true numbers, then the portion of the orchards under their control declined regardless of the total acreage figure in 1997. The proportion of acreage in the control of that group has declined from either $13.5 \%(215,464)$ or $11 \%$ $(204,674)$ to the current $8.5 \%$.

The other characterization of growers offered here is by age and occupation. First, average age of apple orchardists in Washington continues to increase as it reached 55.1 years in 2002, up from 53.8 years in 1997. In fact, almost half of the orchardists (49.3\%) are 55 or older and nearly $25 \%$ are 65 or older. Table 7 shows the number of producers by age and by whether or not farming is their principal occupation. Also shown is the total acreage owned by the people in each age group.

Those growers who do not consider farming to be their primary occupation represent about $27 \%$ of the growers and have $15 \%$ of the acreage. Conversely, those growers who consider farming to be their primary occupation represent 73\% of all growers and farm 85\% of the acreage.

Ignoring the farming/nonfarming distinction, it is interesting to note that, in 2002, $48.5 \%$ of the acreage was farmed by the $49.3 \%$ of the growers who were 55 or older. Essentially half of the acreage is in the hands of growers who have reached retirement age or will do so in the not too far distant future.

Table 8 contains county and region data on apple grower numbers and acres of apple orchard in each county/region. Since the 1997 numbers are based on the original
census report it is not possible to determine if any county acreage increased. For example, Grant County acreage appears to have increased. However, since the number of acres in 1997 is now thought to be 215,464 rather than the 204,674 shown here it is possible that Grant County may have lost apple acreage also. Probably the most interesting information in table 8 is the change in acreage in Benton, Okanogan, and Yakima Counties. Using the original 1997 numbers apple acreage in those 3 counties each declined 26\%-29\%. In general terms during that 5 year period from 1997 to 2002 one acre out of every 5 acres of apple orchard was removed.

The bottom part of table 8 combines some of the county data into regional groupings to better show geographic changes (or lack of change). NCW includes Chelan, Douglas, and Okanogan counties. North Basin contains the data for Grant and Adams, So. Basin includes Benton, Franklin, and Walla County data. The "Other" category combines the remaining entries.

Because of the tough times faced by the apple industry in recent years and the immediately obvious tree removal activities that have been undertaken there is a temptation to draw the conclusion that agriculture is declining.

After apple trees are removed, other crops, including houses, can be planted. The census report allows us to look at change a bit more broadly than just apple orchards. The census report shows, by county, the total acreage in orchards, tree nuts and vineyards. Table 9 shows the 2002 acreage and farm numbers as well as the adjusted 1997 acreage and farm numbers.

When viewed from this perspective one starts to get a different impression of agriculture in these counties. It has been assumed by some people that production agriculture is on the decline in Chelan County. These data suggest otherwise. While apple acreage has declined, that decline has been more than offset by the planting of other fruits and vines. On the other hand Yakima County has seen a shift of over 15,000 acres out of orchards, tree nuts, and vineyards. While it can't be inferred from this that this land has been taken out of production, Yakima County did lose about 45,000 acres of harvested cropland between 1997 and 2002.

Okanogan County was the only other major growing area to suffer a significant loss of acreage.

Sweet cherries and vineyards were major acreage contributors in those counties with increased acreage. For example, half of the increase in Grant County acreage can be attributed to an increase in vineyards.

In the final analysis the hard times of the late 1990's are reflected in the latest census numbers. There has been a rapid exodus of growers. Acreage has shrunk even more than expected. The industry has not attracted many new young producers. At the same time the evidence suggests that growers are diversifying to minimize the
effects of low returns to one crop or another and, so, agriculture continues to be an important activity throughout the fruit growing districts.

|  | 1992 | 1997 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Farms |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 ac | 1,380 | 1,554 | 1,250 |
| 5-25 ac | 1,698 | 1,643 | 1,338 |
| 25-50 ac | 729 | 718 | 571 |
| 50-100 ac | 449 | 541 | 376 |
| More than 100 ac | 340 | 454 | 335 |
| Total | 4,596 | 4,910 | 3,870 |
|  | \% | \% | \% |
| Over 50/Total | 17 | 20 | 18 |
| Over 100/Total | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Less than 25/Total | 67 | 65 | 67 |
| Data taken from vario |  |  |  |

Table 2: Total Acres by Size of Orchard

|  | 1992 | 1997 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Acres |  |  |
| Less than 5 ac | 2,501 | 2,819 | 1,999 |
| 5-25 ac | 21,163 | 20,531 | 16,494 |
| 25-50 ac | 25,216 | 24,846 | 19,827 |
| 50-100 ac | 30,382 | 37,205 | 26,102 |
| More than 100 ac | 89,846 | 130,063 | 108,388 |
| Total | 169,108 | 215,464 | 172,810 |


|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Over 50/Total | 71 | 78 | 78 |
| Over 100/Total | 53 | 60 | 63 |
| Less than 25/Total | 14 | 11 | 11 |
| Data taken from various censi. |  |  |  |

Table 3: Size of Apple Orchard by Acres Harvested: Large Operations.

|  | 1992 |  | 1997 |  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size in Acres | Farms |  | Acres | Farms | Acres | Farms |
| $100-250$ | 248 | 37,192 | 326 | 49,802 | 221 | 32,238 |
| $250-500$ | 54 | 18,155 | 74 | 24,270 | 64 | 21,615 |
| More than 500 | 38 | 34,499 | 54 | 55,991 | 50 | 54,535 |
| $\%$ of Total | 7.4 | 53.1 | 9.3 | 60.4 | 8.7 | 62.7 |

Source: Op. Cit., Table 1.
Source: Op. Cit., Table 43.

Table 4: Fruit Acreage in Washington
1997
Farms

| Apples | 4,910 | 215,463 | 3,870 | 172,810 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apricots | 325 | 1,379 | 283 | 1,205 |
| Sweet Cherries | 2,109 | 22,938 | 2,432 | 34,835 |
| Tart Cherries | 231 | 1,475 | 168 | 2,111 |
| Figs | D | D | 5 | 1 |
| Grapes | 966 | 43,017 | 1,199 | 62,515 |
| Kiwifruit | 21 | 8 | 30 | 20 |
| Nectarines | 183 | 1,237 | 217 | 1,530 |
| Peaches | 447 | 3,007 | 406 | 3,359 |
| Pears, Bartlett | NA | NA | 1,485 | 13,529 |
| Pears, Other | NA | NA | 1,409 | 17,450 |
| Pears, All | 2,001 | 27,931 | 1,862 | 30,979 |
| Persimmons | NA | NA | 9 | 5 |
| Plums and Prunes | 280 | 830 | 317 | 1,034 |
| Total Acres |  | 317,285 |  | 310,404 |

Source: Taken from 2002 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Vol. 1, Part 47, Table 31.

Table 5: Apple Orchards by Market Value of Crop Sold, 1997, 2002.

|  | 1997 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Farms | Acres | Ave. Size | Number of Farms | Acres | Ave. Size |
| Less than \$5,000 | 828 | 6,419 | 7.8 | 487 | 1,736 | 3.6 |
| 5,000-10,000 | 223 | 1,694 | 7.6 | 283 | 614 | 2.2 |
| 10,000-25,000 | 378 | 3,288 | 8.7 | 430 | 2,173 | 5.1 |
| 25,000-50,000 | 408 | 5,225 | 12.8 | 471 | 4,282 | 9.1 |
| 50,000-100,000 | 522 | 10,420 | 20.0 | 484 | 7,953 | 16.4 |
| 100,000-500,000 | 1,243 | 52,421 | 42.2 | 1,190 | 44,683 | 37.5 |
| 500,000-1,000,000 | 322 | 32,458 | 100.8 | 278 | 23,365 | 84.0 |
| More than \$1,000,000 | 283 | 92,749 | 327.7 | 247 | 88,006 | 356.3 |
| Total | 4,207 | 204,674 |  | 3,870 | 172,812 |  |

Source: Op. Cit., Table 1.
Source: 1997 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 50.
Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 56.

Table 6: Apple Orchards by Type of Organization.

|  | 1992 |  | 1997 |  | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Farms | Acres | Number of Farms | Acres | Number of Farms | Acres |
| Individual or Family | 3,422 | 73,398 | 2,896 | 70,041 | 2,766 | 59,999 |
| Partnership | 566 | 32,601 | 573 | 44,851 | 445 | 36,414 |
| Corporation; Family | 492 | 44,306 | 605 | 66,003 | 563 | 61,685 |
| Nonfamily | 85 | 15,944 | 96 | 20,541 | 57 | 10,563 |
| Trust, Estate, Cooperative, Etc. | 31 | 2,856 | 37 | 3,239 | 39 | 4,149 |
| Total | 4,596 | 169,107 | 4,207 | 204,675 | 3,870 | 172,810 |

Source: Op. Cit., Table 1.
Source: 1997 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 47.
Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 58.

Table 7: Summary of Orchardist by Age and Principal Occupation, 1997 and 2002.

|  | 1997 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Farming |  | Non-Farming |  | Farming |  | Non-Farming |  |
|  | Number of Farms | Acres | Number of Farms | Acres | Number of Farms | Acres | Number of Farms | Acres |
| Under 25 | 6 | 548 | 2 | D | 8 | 151 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-34 | 122 | 6,609 | 78 | D | 94 | 5,407 | 31 | 645 |
| 35-44 | 563 | 38,771 | 335 | 6,217 | 423 | 19,423 | 173 | 2,053 |
| 45-54 | 710 | 51,208 | 517 | 10,024 | 900 | 54,624 | 387 | 6,577 |
| 55-64 | 705 | 41,169 | 332 | 5,297 | 697 | 43,357 | 327 | 10,900 |
| 65 and over | 659 | 35,652 | 178 | 7,994 | 690 | 24,619 | 140 | 5,053 |
| Totals | 2,765 | 173,957 | 1,442 | 29,532 | 2,812 | 147,581 | 1,058 | 25,230 |
| D not reported to avoid disclosure of individual operations. Source: 1997 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 48. Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 60. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8: Acreage and Number of Orchards by County, 1992, 1997 and 2002.

|  | 1992 |  |  | 1997 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO. | ACRES | AVE. | NO. | ACRES | AVE. | NO. | ACRES | AVE. |
| ADAMS | 28 | 2247 | 80.3 | 43 | 3457 | 80.4 | 42 | 3524 | 83.9 |
| BENTON | 211 | 10746 | 50.9 | 218 | 18425 | 84.5 | 214 | 13118 | 61.3 |
| CHELAN | 826 | 17825 | 21.6 | 690 | 17096 | 24.8 | 558 | 14195 | 25.4 |
| DOUGLAS | 411 | 14126 | 34.4 | 353 | 14383 | 40.7 | 284 | 12490 | 44.0 |
| FRANKLIN | 121 | 5347 | 44.2 | 161 | 9000 | 55.9 | 151 | 9093 | 60.2 |
| GRANT | 243 | 24154 | 99.4 | 318 | 33615 | 105.7 | 287 | 36480 | 127.1 |
| KITTITAS | 42 | 1095 | 26.1 | 39 | 1859 | 47.7 | 34 | 495 | 14.6 |
| KLICKITAT | 22 | 305 | 13.9 | 27 | 516 | 19.1 | 33 | 1171 | 35.5 |
| OKANOGAN | 631 | 25395 | 40.2 | 503 | 24164 | 48.0 | 448 | 17752 | 39.6 |
| SPOKANE | 63 | 453 | 7.2 | 44 | 227 | 5.2 | 74 | 574 | 7.8 |
| WALLA WALLA | 23 | 4042 | 175.7 | 22 | 5222 | 237.4 | 31 | 8489 | 273.8 |
| YAKIMA | 1454 | 61910 | 42.6 | 1334 | 75264 | 56.4 | 1100 | 54036 | 49.1 |
| OTHER | 521 | 1462 | 2.8 | 455 | 1446 | 3.2 | 614 | 1393 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 4596 | 169107 | 36.8 | 4207 | 204674 | 48.7 | 3870 | 172810 | 44.7 |
|  | 1992 |  |  | 1997 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
|  | NO. | ACRES | AVE. | NO. | ACRES | AVE. | NO. | ACRES | AVE. |
| NCW | 1868 | 57346 | 30.70 | 1546 | 55643 | 36.0 | 1290 | 44437 | 34.4 |
| YAKIMA | 1454 | 61910 | 42.58 | 1334 | 75264 | 56.4 | 1100 | 54036 | 49.1 |
| NO. BASIN | 271 | 26401 | 97.42 | 361 | 37072 | 102.7 | 329 | 40004 | 121.6 |
| SO. BASIN | 332 | 20135 | 60.65 | 379 | 32647 | 86.1 | 365 | 30700 | 84.1 |
| OTHER | 648 | 3315 | 5.12 | 565 | 4048 | 7.2 | 755 | 3633 | 4.8 |

Source: Agricultural Census.

Table 9: Land in Orchards, Tree Nuts and Vineyards, by Selected County, 1997 vs. 2002.

|  | Farms |  | Acres |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 2002 | 1997 | 2002 |
| State | 6781 | 6108 | 318256 | 311194 |
| Adams | 49 | 42 | 3840 | 3963 |
| Benton | 455 | 425 | 40245 | 41765 |
| Chelan | 1126 | 1011 | 30892 | 32621 |
| Douglas | 605 | 501 | 18861 | 16764 |
| Franklin | 270 | 224 | 15523 | 16614 |
| Grant | 432 | 370 | 44490 | 50260 |
| Klickitat | 77 | 85 | 2803 | 6603 |
| Okanogan | 661 | 548 | 30620 | 24819 |
| Walla Walla | 53 | 94 | 8420 | 12624 |
| Yakima | 2114 | 1809 | 115638 | 99834 |

Source: Op. Cit., Table 1.
Source: 1997 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 50.
Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture, Washington, Table 56.
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